

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,554	03/31/2004	Richard Warren Hailey	014586-9015-00	7426	
1131 7:	590 10/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP			RUTLEDGE,	RUTLEDGE, AMELIA L	
Two Prudential Plaza 180 North Stetson Avenue, Suite 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60601		2176		
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
		10/814,554	HAILEY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Amelia Rutledge	2176					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	<del>-</del>	e correspondence address	:				
Period fo	• •							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS featute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON.  e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status				: .				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	1 March 2004.						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>25-51</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			,				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) <u>25-51</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		;				
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2004</u> is/ar		d to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.	•				
Priority (	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	)(a)-(d) or (f).	:				
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not rece	ived.	;				
Attach	*(a)							
Attachmen	τ(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/19/04:6/5/06;6/12/06</u> .	5)  Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Matent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/814,554 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: original application, filed 03/31/2004; preliminary amendment, filed 05/30/2006; Information Disclosure statements filed 07/19/2004; 06/05/20006; and 06/12/2006.

2. Claims 25-51 are pending. Claims 25, 31, 34, and 47 are independent claims.

## Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 25-30 and 34-46, are drawn to <u>structured document</u>, classified in class 715, subclass 513.
  - II. Claims 31-33 and 47-51, are drawn to <u>layout</u>, classified in class 715, subclass 517.
- 4. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility as a schema for a document generation system having structured document elements, and is patentably distinct from subcombination II, which has separate utility of a data structure for a document comprising pages including compositions configurable to include a collection of unique data placements and a level, and is therefore drawn to layout. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Similarly, subcombination II is patentably distinct from subcombination I for the cited reasons.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,554 Page 3

Art Unit: 2176

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amelia Rutledge whose telephone number is 571-272-7508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,554 Page 5

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR

Heather R. Herndon Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100